DEVELOPMENT OF FINANCIAL MARKETS AND ECONOMIC GROWTH: POLAND AGAINST THE BACKGROUND OF SELECTED EU COUNTRIES

Prof. Slawomir I. Bukowski, Ph. D. (Econ.)

K. Pulaski Technical University of Radom

Faculty of Economics

Department of International Business & Finance

INRODUCTION

- The research which has resulted in this paper aimed at answering the following questions:
 - 1) What are the relationships between financial development and economic growth from the point of view of the theory of economics and finance?
 - 2) Does the development of the financial market in Poland stimulate economic growth in comparison with selected EU countries and, if yes, in what way?
 - 3) How strong is the influence of the financial market development on economic growth in Poland in comparison with selected EU countries?

INTRODUCTION

The research was conducted in three stages:

- The first stage embraced literature review in the field of relationships between the financial market development and economic growth in the theory of economics from the point of view of results of empirical studies conducted by different authors.
- The second stage consisted of statistical data collecting and selecting and an analysis of the main trends in the financial market development in Poland and selected EU countries.
- The third stage included an analysis of relationships between financial market development and economic growth in Poland and selected EU countries in the years 1994-2007 on the basis of the financial development indicators possible to use.

- Financial market (including monetary market, capital market, credit-deposit market, currency market and derivative instrument market) is one of the key markets in economy related to the market of products and services and labour market through demand-supply and price coupling.
- On the one hand, it is a plane on which short-, mediumand long-term financial transactions are accomplished and, on the other hand, it is the mechanism of shortand long-term capital mobilisation and its allocation in order to finance investment ventures.

Financial market performs crucial functions in economy:

- ensuring liquidity in economy,
- appropriation of financial claims,
- mobilisation of part of national income above current expenditure for investment purposes,
- strengthening of the motivating role of profit,
- allocation of capital in economy,
- economic shock absorption through the risk sharing mechanism.

- The role of financial markets in economy depends on the financial structure of economy.
- The financial structure of a given country's economy is shaped by: institutions, financial technology, rules of the game, which determine how financial activities are organised at a point in time.
- R. Stulz uses an analogy that " (...) financial structure is to financial system what a foundation is to a house. Many different houses can be built on the same foundation. However, at the same time, a foundation makes it impossible to build some types of houses. If the foundation is designed for a one floor house, it cannot be used to build a skyscraper"

The financial systems functioning in different countries can be divided into two categories:

- market-oriented financial systems, referred to as Anglo-Saxon ones - financial markets play main role
- bank-oriented financial systems, also known as the continental ones – banking sector play main role

- J. Schumpeter, pointed out specific functions of financial intermediation and financial markets, essential for economic growth and development and consisting in:
- mobilisation of savings,
- capital allocation,
- risk management,
- facilitating transactions,
- company monitoring.

Taking into account Schumpeter's theory of entrepreneur and innovation one can propose a thesis that also in the case of financial institutions and financial intermediation a process of "creative destruction" occurs which results in financial development being a component of economic development.

R. Levine referred to J. Schumpeter's concept. Levine's definition:

- "Financial development occurs when financial instruments, markets, and intermediaries ameliorate though do not necessarily eliminate the effects of information, enforcement, and transactions costs and therefore do a correspondingly better job at providing the five financial functions:
- production of ex ante information about possible investments and capital allocation,
- monitoring of investments and exert of corporate governance after providing finance,
- facilitating trading of financial instruments, risk diversification, management of risk,
- mobilizing and pooling of savings,
- ease the exchange of goods and services.

Each of these financial functions may influence savings and investment decisions and hence economic growth"

- Financial development means, first of all, changes of qualitative character.
- Functions of financial development are implemented by financial markets and financial intermediaries.
- In the long run implementation of these functions leads to an increase in capital accumulation.
- Through creating possibilities of risk diversification and creating finance sources, they stimulate increase in technological innovations.
- Together, functions stimulate economic growth.

- J. Greenwood and B. Jovanovich indicated parallels and interdependencies in financial market development and economic growth.
- According to them, economic growth provides means thanks to which financial markets and financial intermediation develop.
- In turn, this process accelerates economic growth by supporting capital allocation.

Figure 1 Theoretical relationship between finance and economic growth by R. Levine

Market Frictions

- information costs
- transaction costs



Financial markets and intermediaries



Financial functions

- mobilize savings
- allocate resources
- exert corporate control
- facilitate risk management
- ease trading of goods, services, contracts



Channels to growth

- capital accumulation
- technological innovation



Growth

In recent years there were several publications which were highly skeptical about the impact of financial development on economic growth. These include publications by, among others, P. Wachtel, M.J. Manning and P. L. Rousseau.

- Majority of empirical research into relationships between financial development and economic growth is based on panel data and thus concerns not particular countries but their groups.
- A basic econometric model used in the research into relationships between financial development and economic growth is R. Levine and R.G. King's version of the R. Barro's model of economic growth regression.

This model assumes the following form:

$$Y_{it} = \alpha_0 + \alpha F_{it} + \beta X_{it} + u_{it}$$

where Yit is the growth rate of real GDP per capita in ith country over the period t, Fit - is a financial development index in the i-th country over the period t (the ratio of the financial sector's liquid liabilities to GDP, the ratio of credit for the non-financial private sector to GDP, the ratio of credit for the non-financial private sector to total domestic credits, the ratio of domestic assets of deposit banks to domestic assets of the entire banking sector), Xit - the vector of basic, predetermined instrumental variables explaining economic growth in the i-th country over the period of t

Predetermined, exogenic (controls) instrumental variables explaining economic growth in the i-th country over the period of t:

- the natural logarithm of initial GDP per capita,
- the natural logarithm of the scholarisation index the ratio of children registered in secondary schools to the total number of children at the school age,
- the share of foreign trade turnover in GDP, the ratio of government consumption to GDP,
- the ratio of budget deficit to GDP).

The model is estimated with the use of the double ordinary least square (20LS) method.

GDP per capita has been replaced in the equation with the per capita capital growth rate, and next with the per capita efficiency growth rate, and the investment rate in GDP – the authors investigated the influence of financial development indicators on these quantities using the same model form.

- R. King and R. Levine carried out research into relationships between the figures of real GDP per capita and the size of financial intermediation measured by the ratio of the financial system's liquid liabilities to GDP, based on a sample consisting of 80 countries and covering the 1960-1989 period.
- Next, they investigated the influence of financial development indicators on long-term economic growth rates per capita, capital accumulation and productivity growth.
- In each case the correlation indicators were high and statistically significant but different depending on a group of countries – divided into countries of low, medium and high economic development.
- Further research based on panel data also confirmed a relatively strong impact of financial development, including that of financial markets, on economic growth.

- P.L. Rousseau and P. Wachtel's research did not fully confirm the results obtained by the above mentioned authors.
- P.L. Rousseau and P. Wachtel applied the same research method as R. Levine and R.G. King, and used in their research the annual panel data comprising statistical data from 84 countries for the 1960-2003 period.

- M. Neimke investigated the relationships among the selected financial development indicators (among others, the ratio of M3 to GDP, the ratio of stock-exchange capitalization to GDP, the ratio of bank credit for enterprises to GDP, the share of state bank assets in total bank assets) and GDP growth, and investments and productivity in the countries undergoing transformations (countries of Central and Eastern Europe and former Soviet republics in Asia).
- He used the panel data for the period of 1990-2000 and constructed
 18 equations.
- For their estimation he applied the Ordinary least square methods (OLS Method) and for some of the equations also a generalized method of moments (GMM).
- On the basis of empirical investigations he proved that also in transition economies (including Poland), there is a significant positive correlation between the financial market development (and, in particular, capital market) and investments, productivity and GDP per capita.

- However, it is worth mentioning that taking into account the magnitude of the coefficient of determination R2 (values ranging from 0.008 to 0.25 and in one case 0.48), the results obtained to a very small degree explain relationships between financial development and economic growth.
- What is more, the economies examined are remotely comparable. It is difficult to compare Central European economies with those of the former Soviet republics in Asia due to extreme differences in their financial structures, financial market development and levels of economic growth.

- The research used statistical data comprised in the database "Financial structure dataset (Nov. 2008)" developed by T. Beck and E. Al.-Hussainy according to the methodology described in "A New Database on Financial Development and Structure" by T. Beck, A. Demiurguç – Kunt and R. Levine.
- The statistical data used in the research and concerning shaping of financial development indicators are derived entirely from this database. On the other hand, the data referring to GDP per capita and physical capital and investments are derived from the databases of Eurostat and the Central Statistical Office (GUS), as well as the World Development Indicator base of the World Bank.

- The data referring to Poland, Greece, Italy cover the years 1994-2007 (14 years) and for Ireland cover the years 1996-2007(12 years). In the case of Ireland, available date for years 1994-1995 are not existing.
- The author has chosen the above period for his analysis due to the fact that in Poland only since 1994 it has been possible to speak about significant financial development indicators in relation to GDP.
- Thus, the work covers 14 observations concerning the following quantities: the growth rate of real GDP per capita (*GDPp*), the growth rate of real gross physical capital (*CAPITAL*), efficiency growth rate (*EFF*), the growth rate of real gross investment per capita (*GINV*) and the five financial indicators presented below.

- A relatively small sample entailed several problems.
- Due to the restricted number of degrees of freedom in an econometric model, the number of explanatory variables had to be restricted, which, obviously affected the quality of obtained models.
- Moreover, data inconsistency may have occurred due to the fact that not all needed quantities were available in statistics of one database.
- Particularly, the data referring to the growth rates of real gross investment per capita and physical capital per capita were taken from other sources than the World Development Indicators of the World Bank, and more specifically from the databases of EUROSTAT and GUS.

In order to determine the efficiency growth indicator (*EFF*), combining in itself effects of technology use, human resource and labour productivity, the method applied by R.G. King and R. Levine was used.

The starting point is an economic growth equation in the form:

$$y = k^a x$$

where y stands for real GDP per capita , k represents real physical capital per capita, x stands for remaining determinants of per capita GDP growth (joint factor of technology, human resources and labour), a —is a parameter of production function.

This equation can be transformed by logarithming the sides of the equation to the form:

$$ln y = alnk + lnx.$$

by changing symbols, this dependence can be converted (as R.G. King and R.Levine did it) to the form: *GDPp = aCAPITAL + EFF*, where *GDPp* represents the growth rate of real GDP per capita, *CAPITAL* – stands for the growth rate of physical capital per capita, and *EFF* indicates the efficiency growth rate, *a* – is a share of physical capital growth in real per capita GDP growth. Hence, *EFF* can be determined in the following way:

$$EFF = GDPp - aCAPITAL$$
.

Following R.G. King and R.Levine, the value a = 0.3

Additionally, the growth rate of real gross investment per capita (GINV) was used in the study.

<u>Financial market development indicators were</u> constructed in the following way:

- STOCK the ratio of the stock-exchange capitalization on the stock market to GDP
- {0,5[STOCKt/P_et + STOCKt-1/P_et-1]}/GDPt/P_at,
- PBONDS the ratio of the stock-exchange capitalization on the bonds market to GDP
- {0,5[PBONDSt/P_et + PBONDSt-1/P_et-1]}/GDPt/P_at ,
- In the above formulas the following symbols were adopted: P_et —stands for an inflation rate (CPI) at the end of the year, P_et -1 is an inflation rate (CPI) at the beginning of the year, P_at represents the average yearly inflation rate (CPI), GDPt GDP in the year t, t—year.

- In the study an econometric multi-equation model (simple model) was used.
- The model consists of 3 equations, each of which is estimated separately by the OLS method.
- These equations were constructed in such a way as to show the effect of both the real sphere and the financial sphere on economic growth, or on its major factors: capital growth and efficiency growth.

The first equation characterizes the correlation between per capita real GDP growth and its main factors:

(1)
$$l_GDPp = l_a_{10} + a_{11} l_EFF + a_{12} l_CAPITAL + u_1$$
,

where /_GDPp - represents the logarithm of per capita GDP growth, /_EFF - stands for the logarithm of efficiency growth rate, /_CAPITAL - is the logarithm of the growth rate of capital per capita, /_ a10 - stands for the logarithm of the constant.

The second equation characterizes the correlation between the growth rate of real GDP per capita and efficiency growth (*EFF*) and the ratios of the stock market capitalization to GDP and the bond-market capitalization to GDP (*PBONDS*).

(1)
$$GDPp = a_{30} + a_{31}EFF + a_{32}STOCK + a_{33}PBONDS + u_{3}$$

The third equation characterizes the relationship between the growth rate of real physical capital per capita (CAPITAL) and the growth rate of gross investment, and the ratios of the stock market capitalization to GDP (STOCK) and bond market capitalization to GDP (PBONDS).

(3) $CAPITAL = a_{40} + a_{41}GINV + a_{42}STOCK + a_{43}PBONDS + u_4$

- The first equation reveals the obvious significant stochastic relationships between GDP per capita and explanatory (independent) variables being the economic growth factors.
- The relationship between per capita GDP growth and per capita physical capital growth and efficiency growth is obvious from the point of view of economic growth models (see: Tables 1A,B,C,D).
- Due to the non-linear dependence (power function), the author used logarithms of the per capita real GDP growth rate and logarithms of the growth rates of real physical capital per capita and efficiency.

Table 1A Poland. Equation 1: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependant variable: I_GDPp

	Coefficient		Standard error		t-Student p ı		value	
Const	-0.1	54094	0.227312		-0.6779	0.51184		
1_CAPITAL	0.204385		0.0646612		3.1609	0.00906		***
1_EFF	0.658898		0.0214507		30.7169	< 0.00001		***
Mean of dependent	-3.161		1880	Stand	Standard deviation		of 0.54	
variable				depe	dependent variable			7
Sum of squared residuals		0.041929		Stand	Standard error of		0.06	51739
SPACE SHOW AND	P	100	R	resid	uals		2 1 13	18
Unadjusted R-squared		0.989330		Adju	Adjusted R- square		0.98	37390
F(2, 11)		509.9452		p val	p value for the F-te		1.4.	3e-11
Log - Likelihood		20.83	1067	Durb	Durbin-Watson statistics		2.54	1708
Autocorrelation of	500	-0.303	3210	AT 1811		CART.	75/10	12
Residuals - rho1	160	25.6	POL	Mar Hill	A STATE OF THE STA	113	67.0	

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

Table. 1B Greece. Equation 1: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: I_GDPp

	Coefficient		Standard		t-Student p		value	194
			terror		EN SALS	100	786	
Const	0.1	3191	0.0632669		2.0850	0.06117		*
1_EFF	0.77	71421	0.0217344		35.4930	< 0.00001		***
1_CAPITAL	0.189911		0.0110391		17.2034	< 0.00001		***
Mean of dependent	Mean of dependent		-3.473576		Standard deviation of		0.340671	
variable				dependent variable				82
Sum of squared residuals		0.004326		Stan	Standard error of		0.019832	
				resid	residuals		10 10	90
Unadjusted R-squared		0.997132		Adju	Adjusted R- squared		0.996611	
F(2, 11)		1912	1912.495		p value for the F-test		1.04e-14	
Log - Likelihood		36.70934		Durb	Durbin-Watson statistic		1.97	73208
Autocorrelation of		-0.06	9453	3267	481.7	100	49 68	Tay.
Residuals - rho1		200		22		1000	16 July	

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

Table 1C Ireland. Equation 1: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: I_GDPp

	Coej	ficient	Stand terro		t-Student	p	value	Fig
Const	0.142907		0.0692364		2.0640	0.06342		*
1_EFF	0.843363		0.0105352		80.0517	< 0.00001		***
1_CAPITAL	0.121753		0.0163836		7.4314	0.00001		***
Mean of dependent	-2.69		5873	Stan	Standard deviation		of 0.3	
variable		depe		endent variable				
Sum of squared residuals		0.002611			Standard error of		0.015405	
		1	25	resid	No. of the Contract of the Con	600	CF 16	15.00
Unadjusted R-squared		0.998311		Adju	Adjusted R- squared		0.998004	
F(2, 11)		3251.224		p val	p value for the F-test		5.65e-1	
Log - Likelihood		40.2	4567	Durt	oin-Watson star	tistics	1.95	52762
Autocorrelation of		-0.05	0234	N. Bru	A STATE OF	300	2500	1834
Residuals - rho1	9/	1000	10	THEN	BED WILLIAM	1000	MADE.	No. of London

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

Table 1D Italy. Equation 1: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: I_GDPp

C. C. S. S. S. C. C.	Coefficient		Standard		t-Student p va		lue	ALL:
18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 1			terror			6040	12.3	90
Const	0.22232		0.116282		1.9119	0.08819		*
1_EFF	0.717412		0.0116509		61.5759	< 0.00001		***
1_CAPITAL	0.268867		0.0296523		9.0673	< 0.00001		***
Mean of dependent	-4.07		1400	Stand	dard deviation of		0.42	27651
variable		9736 W S		depe	dependent variable			10
Sum of squared residuals		0.003583		Resid	Residual standard erro		0.01	9953
Unadjusted R-squared		0.998219		Corre	Corrected R squared		0.99	7823
F(2, 11)		2521.968		p val	p value for the F-test			5e-13
Log - Likelihood		31.6	7119			Self 18	7070	100
Autocorrelation of	10			To Part	是学 身为医师马		WITT	1
Residuals - rho1	Set.	3 TO SE	1000	52 80	State	435	9200	

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

- In the case of Poland Equation 2 indicates a statistically significant positive relationship between real GDP growth per capita and the ratio of stock-market capitalization to GDP. An increase in stock-market capitalization by 1 percentage point causes an increase in per capita GDP growth rate by 0.021 percentage point.
- On the other hand, the relationship between the ratio of bond-market capitalization to GDP and the growth rate of GDP per capita is negative, hence an increase in the share of the bond-market capitalization in GDP by 1 percentage point causes a decrease in the growth rate of real GDP per capita by 0.051 percentage point (see: Table 2A).
- The influence of both variables on the growth rate of real GDP per capita is definitely lower than that of efficiency.

Table 2A Poland. Equation 2: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: GDPp

	Coefficient		ient Standard		t-Student	t-Student p		5.86
201 20 10 15		977-83	1	<i>alue</i>	TO BE SHOW	BP A	417	
Const	0,01	59835	0,00161021		9,9263	<0,00001		***
EFF	1,0	4013	0,0206821		50,2914	<0,00001		***
STOCK	0,0219688		0,0057401		3,8273	0,00333		***
PBONDS	-0,05	511506	0,00	926045	-5,5236	0,0	0025	***
Mean of dependent	0,047		7357 Stand		dard deviation	0,01	9069	
variable				depe	dependent variable			
Sum of squared resi	Sum of squared residuals		0,000019		Standard error of		0,00	1361
TAINS THE				residuals				150
Unadjusted R-squar	ed	0,996079		Adju	Adjusted R- squared		0,99	4902
F(2, 11) 846,		,7375 p val		value for the F-test		2,5	1e-12	
og - Likelihood 74,8°		7862	Durb	oin-Watson stat	tistics	3,20	9570	
Autocorrelation of -0,62		9110	THE BOY	END WHAT DE	1000	1620		
Residuals - rho1	1	20 K	M. A.		THE PARTY NAMED IN	15 77	1989	

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

- In the case of Greece the relationship between the ratio of stock-market capitalization to GDP and the growth rate of real GDP per capita is statistically insignificant, whereas the relationship between the ratio of bondmarket capitalization to GDP and the growth rate of real GDP per capita is statistically significant and positive.
- It must be noted, however, that the applied equation does not explain these relationships very well because the low value of the Durbin- Watson test points to autocorrelation of the random component (see also: Table 2B).

Table 2B Greece. Equation 2: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: GDPp

att barrs	Coefficient		ent Standard		t-Student	p v	ralue	166
	16.50	Marie .	terror				100	36
Const	-0.01	15715	0.00499579		-2.3162	0.04305		**
EFF	1.1	4482	0.0818234		13.9914	< 0.00001		***
STOCK	0.00197107		0.00175339		1.1241	0.28721		P. Y.
PBONDS	0.01	72936 0.006		690463	0463 2.5046		3120	**
Mean of dependent	0.032		2643 Stand		dard deviation of		0.01	0493
variable	18	depe		pendent variable		To get		
Sum of squared resi	duals	0.000044					0.00	2088
				resid	uals	820	90 S	
Unadjusted R-squar	ed	0.969524		Adjusted R- squared		d	0.96	50381
F(2, 11)	17/1	106.	0417	p val	value for the F-test		7.0.	3e-08
Log - Likelihood	- Likelihood 68.8		8855	Durb	oin-Watson stat	tistics	0.59	94337
Autocorrelation of	(CE)	0.83	6106		A Committee of the Comm	100	9690	200
Residuals - rho1	4	具洲	4		3277	BA.	20137	

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

- Irish economy reveals a statistically significant positive relationship between the ratio of stock-market capitalization to GDP and the growth rate of real GDP per capita.
- However, the effect of this explanatory variable on the explained variable is weaker than in Poland. An increase in the ratio of stock-market capitalization to GDP by 1 percentage point causes an increase in the growth rate of GDP per capita by 0.0093 percentage point.
- The relationship between the ratio of bond-market capitalization to GDP and the growth rate of real GDP per capita is negative, but statistically insignificant (see: Table 2C).

Table 2C Ireland. Equation 2: OLS Method estimation with the use of 12 observations 1996-2007 Dependent variable: GDPp

SEE SEE	Coefficient		Sto	andar	rd	t-Student	p v	alue	
	Sec.	100	error		Ja.		Terr	27.	297
Const	0.005	567257	0.00386259		259	1.4686	0.1	8013	
EFF	0.99	98107	0.0	0.031766		31.4206	<0.0	00001	***
STOCK	0.00931736		0.00469812		312	1.9832	0.0	8264	*
PBONDS	-0.00	144696	96 0.0124469		-0.1163	0.91032			
Mean of dependent	0.070		0250	0 Stan		ndard deviation of		0.02	5641
variable	84		depe		depe	endent variable		100 Mil	TANK A
Sum of squared resi	duals	0.000013		Standard error of			0.00	1284	
	6	53 S.		1	resid	uals			8 34
Unadjusted R-squar	ed	0.99	0.998177		Adjusted R- squared		d	0.99	7493
F(2, 11)	100	1459	9.978	1	p val	value for the F-test		2.72	2e-11
Log - Likelihood	00	65.30			Durb	oin-Watson stat	istics	1.40	9016
Autocorrelation of	No.	0.26	5218		5	LAND AT SECTION	100	4000	
Residuals - rho1	36	种外	210	90			SA	(0)-1	7/7/27/

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

- Italian economy reveals a positive, statistically significant relationship between the ratio of stock-market capitalization to GDP and the growth rate of real GDP per capita and between the bond-market capitalization to GDP ratio and the growth rate of real GDP per capita.
- It is noteworthy, however, that the ratio of stock-market capitalization to GDP has a weaker impact on the growth rate of real GDP per capita in Italy than in Poland and Ireland.

Table 2D Italy. Equation 2: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: GDPp

att the Pay	Coefficient		Sta	andard	t-Student	p ı	value	
16239 F 13/20	A STATE OF THE STA		error		AND REAL PROPERTY.		15 53	44
const	-0.00	70465	0.00325218		-2.1667	0.05548		*
EFF	1.0	0648	0.0256856		39.1847	< 0.00001		***
STOCK	0.00419153		0.00151216		2.7719	0.01972		**
PBONDS	0.01	08575	0.00	337861	3.2136	0.0	0928	***
Mean of dependent	0.016		6214 Stand		ndard deviation of		0.00	9358
variable	8			depe	ndent variable	SIA!		LAVER
Sum of squared resi	duals	5.47e-06		Stan	dard error of		0.00	00739
				resid	uals		90 B	150
Unadjusted R-squar	ed	0.995199		Adjusted R- square		d	0.99	93759
F(2, 11)	F(2, 11) 690.		9587	p val	value for the F-test		6.89	9e-12
Log - Likelihood	83.42		2789	Durb	oin-Watson stat	istics	1.38	35148
Autocorrelation of	18	0.30	3317		J. F. C. S.		MO CO	W.A.
Residuals - rho1		The state of	100			New York	613 2	

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

- Stock-market capitalization affects also the growth of real physical capital per capita. In the case of Poland this effect is higher than the influence of the growth rate of real gross investment (see: Table 3A).
- An increase in the ratio of stock-market capitalization to GDP by 1 percentage point increases the growth rate of real GDP per capita by 0.066 percentage point (0.027 percentage point in the case of the growth rate of real gross investment).
- A negative impact of the bond-market capitalization to GDP ratio on the growth rate of real physical capital per capita is also significant (an increase by 1 percentage point causes a decrease in the growth rate of GDP per capita by 0.153 percentage point).

Table 3A Poland. Equation 3: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: CAPITAL

	Coefficient		Standard		t-Student	t-Student p v		100
The Control of the			error		CONTRACTOR	100	100	77
const	0.05	53085	0.00519872		10.2112	< 0.0	00001	***
GINV	0.02	75522	0.0128545		2.1434	0.0	5770	*
STOCK	0.0665638		0.0187168		3.5564	0.0	0521	***
PBONDS	-0.1	53156	0.0	301973	-5.0718	0.0	00048	***
Mean of dependent	0.030		0564 Stand		andard deviation of		0.00)8354
variable		of the same		depe	dependent variable			Big
Sum of squared resi	duals	0.000194		Stan	andard error of		0.00)4407
				residuals				
Unadjusted R-squar	ed	0.785905		Adju	Adjusted R- squared		0.72	21676
F(2, 11)	F(2, 11)		3608	p val	p value for the F-test		0.00)1104
Log - Likelihood 58.4		3247	Durb	oin-Watson stat	tistics	3.08	34796	
Autocorrelation of -		-0.56	9964			167	WAY.	
Residuals - rho1	119	THE ALL	1	43			2136	

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

Table 3B Greece. Equation 3: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: *CAPITAL*

att the s	Coefficient		Standard		t-Student	p v	ralue	166
	16.50	The state of the s		error			Par 567	36
const	-0.03	869099	0.0190626		-1.9362	0.0	8159	*
GINV	-0.00	735161	0.0546895		-0.1344	0.8	9573	100
STOCK	0.0104382		0.00654888		1.5939	0.1	4205	P. Y.
PBONDS	0.06	93212	0.0253515		2.7344	0.0	2103	**
Mean of dependent	0.022		2511 Stanc		ndard deviation of		0.01	0825
variable	18			depe	dependent variable			A AV
Sum of squared resi	duals	0.000635		Standard error of		1	0.00	7971
				resid	luals	620		
Unadjusted R-squar	ed	0.582904		Adju	Adjusted R- squared		0.45	57775
F(2, 11)	170	4.65	8431	p val	value for the F-test		0.02	27575
Log - Likelihood	50.13		3788	Durb	oin-Watson stat	tistics	0.82	27001
Autocorrelation of	COR.	0.61	7020	- F W	A CAPPER	7003	9000	200
Residuals - rho1	47.7	AL AP	4		THE PROPERTY.	C.F.	2013	2057

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

- In the economy of Ireland, like in Poland, a positive and statistically significant relationship between stock-market capitalization and the growth rate of real physical capital per capita is revealed.
- What is more, an increase in the stock-market capitalization to GDP ratio has a stronger impact on the growth of real physical capital per capita in Ireland than in Poland.
- Like in the case of Poland, the relationship between bond-market capitalization and the growth rate of real GDP per capita is negative and statistically significant (see: Table 3C).

Table 3C Ireland. Equation 3: OLS Method estimation with the use of 12 observations 1996-2007 Dependent variable: *CAPITAL*

	Coefficient	Stand	dard	t-Student	p value	
		terr	or			
const	0.0225292	0.006	5179	3.6461	0.00653	***
GINV	0.0850699	0.024	1618	3.5208	0.00784	***
STOCK	0.0369639	0.0076	8274	4.8113	0.00134	***
PBONDS	-0.0701747	0.021	8971	-3.2048	0.01252	**
Mean of dependent	0.0	36066	Stand	lard deviation of	of 0.0	005147
variable			deper	ndent variable		
Sum of squared resid	duals 0.0	00057		lard error of	0.0	002681
			residu	uals		
Unadjusted R-square	ed 0.8	02723	Adjus	sted R- squared	0.7	28744
F(2, 11)	10.	85071	p valı	ue for the F-tes	st 0.0	003420
Log - Likelihood	56.	46559	Durb	in-Watson stati	istics 2.1	80682
Autocorrelation of	-0.0	90415				
Residuals - rho1						

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

In the case of Italy, the relationship between the ratio of stock-market capitalization to GDP and the ratio of bond-market capitalization and the growth rate of real physical capital per capita is positive and statistically significant.

Table 3D Italy. Equation 3: OLS Method estimation with the use of 14 observations 1994-2007 Dependent variable: *CAPITAL*

1911 1 1 1 B	Coefficient		Sta	ndard	t-Student	p 1	value	340
	10 mg 1/1/2		terror			200		
Const	-0.01	61529	0.0120238		-1.3434	0.2	0883	
GINV	0.04	14347	0.0390344		1.1361	0.28241		RX.
STOCK	0.01	10963	0.00536525		2.0682	0.0	6548	*
PBONDS	0.02	81834	0.01	125623	2.2435	0.0	4872	**
Mean of dependent	0.014		4519 Stand		ndard deviation of		0.00)3461
variable	325	0.00	dej		dependent variable			10
Sum of squared resi	duals	0.000054		4 Standard error of			0.00)2327
			130	resid	uals	27.1	Section 1	
Unadjusted R-squar	ed	0.652474		Adju	Adjusted R- squared		0.54	18216
F(2, 11)	F(2, 11) 6.2		58276 p va		p value for the F-test		0.01	1559
og - Likelihood 67.3°		7760	Durb	in-Watson sta	tistics	1.37	71166	
Autocorrelation of 0.30		1120	2		(S) Pd	2012	2850	
Residuals - rho1		A 18			1305	512		7

^{***} the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.01, ** the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.05, * the variable is significant at the significance level of 0.1 Source: the author's own calculations with the use of the GRETL programme.

- It is interesting that in the case of Greece and Italy a high ratio of public debt to GDP (above 100%) and a positive relationship between the bond-market capitalization to GDP ratio and economic growth are indicated.
- However, in the case of Poland and Ireland the ratio of public debt to GDP is relatively low (below 60%) and the relationship between the bond-market capitalization to GDP radio and economic growth is negative.
- This phenomenon requires a thorough investigation on a bigger sample and with the use of panel data comprising two groups of countries: countries of high public debt with reference to GDP and countries of a relatively low ratio of public debt to GDP.

- An analysis of relationships between selected indicators of financial market development and economic growth in Poland in the period from 1994 to 2007 points to the following stylized facts:
- In the analyzed period, there was a statistically significant relationship between financial market development and economic growth in Poland, Greece, Ireland and Italy;

An increase in the government bond-market capitalization (result of increased budget deficit and public debt) has a negative and relatively strong impact on the rate of real economic growth and the rate of real physical capital growth in Poland and Ireland, hence it may confirm a negative effect "portfolio crowding out" caused by increased budget deficit and public debt on economic growth; in the case of Greece and Italy, i.e. the countries of a high ratio of public debt to GDP, there is a statistically significant, positive relationship between the bond-market capitalization to GDP ratio and economic growth;

There is a statistically significant but relatively weak, positive relationship between the stock-market capitalization and growth rates of real GDP per capita and real physical capital per capita; this relationship is stronger in the case of Poland than in the case of other examined countries.

To sum up, the conducted analysis revealed a statistically significant and meaningful impact of financial market development on economic growth in Poland, Ireland and Italy, but weaker in the case of Greece. The research done is of preliminary character and the conclusions formulated above require further verification by extensive studies covering a larger number of explanatory variables and a larger number of observations.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION